Conformed Copy

NOT TO BE INCLUDED IN BOUND VOLUMES

RMcK Memphis, TN

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

## BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

## OZBURN-HESSEY LOGISTICS, LLC,

and	Cases 26-CA-092192
	15-CA-097046
	15-CA-105527
	15-CA-106180
	15-CA-106387
	15-CA-106511
	15-CA-109235
	15-CA-111520
UNITED STEEL, PAPER AND FORESTRY,	15-CA-111523
RUBBER, MANUFACTURING, ENERGY,	15-CA-117208
ALLIED INDUSTRIAL AND SERVICE	15-CA-119925
WORKERS INTERNATIONAL UNION,	15-CA-119826
AFL-CIO, CLC a/k/a UNITED	15-CA-123315
STEELWORKERS UNION	15-CA-111581

and Case 15-CA-117208

LAUREN KEELE

and Case 15-CA-108749

STACEY WILLIAMS

## ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION<sup>1</sup>

The Respondent's motion for reconsideration of the Board's Decision and Order reported at 366 NLRB No. 177 (2018) is denied. The Respondent has not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The National Labor Relations Board has delegated its authority in this proceeding to a three-member panel.

Case: 19-1054 Document: 1-4 Filed: 01/10/2019 Page: 2

demonstrated extraordinary circumstances warranting reconsideration under

Section 102.48(c)(1) of the Board's Rules and Regulations.<sup>2</sup>

Dated, Washington, D.C., December 3, 2018.

John F. Ring, Chairman

Lauren McFerran, Member

Marvin E. Kaplan, Member

(SEAL) NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

The Respondent moves for reconsideration of the Board's conclusions that the Respondent's discharges of employees Shawn Wade, Nannette French, Jerry Smith Sr., and Stacey Williams violated Sec. 8(a)(3), the Board's Order requiring it to reinstate employee Lauren Keele with backpay, and the expanded notice-reading, notice-posting, and notice-publication remedies. With respect to French's discharge, the Respondent contends that the judge's credibility findings preclude the Board from inferring from circumstantial evidence that the Respondent had knowledge of French's union activity. We disagree. While the judge credited Operations Manager Margaret Bonner's testimony that Bonner did not observe French distributing union cards, Bonner did not testify that she had no other source of knowledge that French had distributed union cards.

Chairman Ring adheres to his partial dissent in the underlying decision, but he agrees that the Respondent has not shown extraordinary circumstances warranting reconsideration.

Member Kaplan did not participate in the underlying decision, and he expresses no view as to whether it was correctly decided. He agrees, however, that the Respondent's motion for reconsideration should be denied because the Respondent has not shown extraordinary circumstances warranting reconsideration.